COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 4537-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 1624

Subject: Education, Elementary and Secondary; Elections

Type: Original

<u>Date</u>: January 19, 2016

Bill Summary: This proposal requires school districts to designate bathrooms and other

facilities as for the exclusive use of individuals of one sex and to prohibit individuals from accessing facilities that do not correspond to their sex.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 7 pages.

L.R. No. 4537-01 Bill No. HB 1624 Page 2 of 7 January 19, 2016

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	917 FY 2018 FY 2			
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0		

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 4537-01 Bill No. HB 1624 Page 3 of 7 January 19, 2016

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Oversight notes this proposal requires each school district to designate each bathroom and changing room located in a public school building in the district as for the exclusive use of individuals of only one sex. Oversight notes this proposal is to be submitted to a vote of the people. Oversight assumes this proposal would not have a fiscal impact.

Officials at the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** assume each year, a number of joint resolutions that would refer to a vote of the people a constitutional amendment and bills that would refer to a vote of the people the statutory issue in the legislation may be considered by the General Assembly.

Unless a special election is called for the purpose, a joint resolution proposing a constitutional amendment is submitted to a vote of the people at the next general election. Article XII section 2(b) of the Missouri Constitution authorizes the governor to order a special election for constitutional amendments referred to the people. If a special election is called to submit a Joint Resolution to a vote of the people, §115.063.2, RSMo, requires the state to pay the costs. The cost of the special election has been estimated to be \$7.1 million based on the cost of the 2012 Presidential Preference Primary. This figure was determined through analyzing and totaling expense reports from the 2012 Presidential Preference Primary received from local election authorities.

The SOS is required to pay for publishing in local newspapers the full text of each statewide ballot measure as directed by Article XII, Section 2(b) of the Missouri Constitution and Section 116.230-116.290, RSMo. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. Funding for this item is adjusted each year depending upon the election cycle with \$1.3 million historically appropriated in odd numbered fiscal years and \$100,000 appropriated in even numbered fiscal years to meet these requirements. The appropriation has historically been an estimated appropriation because the final cost is dependent upon the number of ballot measures approved by the General Assembly and the initiative petitions certified for the ballot. In FY 2013, at the August and November elections, there were 5 statewide Constitutional Amendments or ballot propositions that cost \$2.17 million to publish (an average of \$434,000 per issue). In FY 2015, the General Assembly changed the appropriation so that it was no longer an estimated appropriation and the SOS was appropriated \$1.19 million to publish the full text of the measures. Due to this reduced funding, the SOS reduced the scope of the publication of these measures. In FY 2015, at the August and November elections, there were 9 statewide Constitutional Amendments or ballot propositions that cost \$1.1 million to publish (an average of \$122,000 per issue). Despite the FY 2015

L.R. No. 4537-01 Bill No. HB 1624 Page 4 of 7 January 19, 2016

ASSUMPTION (continued)

reduction, the SOS will continue to assume, for the purposes of this fiscal note, that it should have the full appropriation authority it needs to meet the publishing requirements. Because these requirements are mandatory, we reserve the right to request funding to meet the cost of our publishing requirements if the Governor and the General Assembly again change the amount or continue to not designate it as an estimated appropriation.

Oversight notes Section B of this proposal requires this issue to be brought before the qualified voters of this state at the general election in November 2016. Since the election will be at the next regularly scheduled general election, Oversight will not reflect any election costs for this proposal.

Officials at the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** assume there is no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials at the **Middle Grove C-1 School District** assume this would be a huge financial burden to install and maintain separate facilities.

Officials at the **Parkway School District** assume this would require \$580,000 to make facility adjustments.

Officials at the Shell Knob School District assume an unknown impact.

Officials at the **St. Charles School District** assume this would have a significant unknown cost.

Officials at the **Kennett** and the **Wright City R-II** school districts each assume there could be costs if they have to provide facilities for transgender students.

Officials at the **Avilla R-XIII School District** responded to Oversight's request for fiscal impact but did not indicate an impact.

Officials at the East Newton, Eldon, Everton, Hawthorn Leadership, Kansas City, Macon County R-IV, New Haven, Sarcoxie R-II, St. Elizabeth, Tipton, Warren County R-III and the West Plains school districts each assume there is no fiscal impact from this proposal to their respective districts.

Oversight assumes that the school districts already have signage in place designating bathrooms as either male or female. Oversight assumes this signage would meet the requirements of this proposal and therefore, there would be no fiscal impact.

L.R. No. 4537-01 Bill No. HB 1624 Page 5 of 7 January 19, 2016

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials at the following school districts: Arcadia Valley R-2, Bakersfield, Belton, Benton County R-2, Bismark R-5, Bloomfield R-14, Blue Springs, Bolivar R-I, Bowling Green R-1, Branson, Brentwood, Bronaugh R-7, Campbell R-2, Carrollton R-7, Caruthersville, Cassville R-4, Central R-III, Chilhowee R-4, Chillicothe R-II, Clarkton C-4, Cole R-I, Columbia, Concordia R-2, Crawford County R-1, Crocker R-II, Delta C-7, East Carter R-2, Fair Grove, Fair Play, Fayette R-3, Forsyth R-3, Fox C-6, Fredericktown R-I, Fulton, Grain Valley, Hancock Place, Hannibal, Harrisonburg R-8, Harrisonville, Hillsboro R-3, Hollister R-5, Humansville R-4, Hurley R-1, Independence, Jefferson City, Kearney R-1, King City R-1, Kingston 42, Kirbyville R-VI, Kirksville, Lee Summit, Leeton R-10, Lewis County C-1, Lindbergh, Lonedell R-14, Macon County R-1, Malta Bend, Mehville, Meramec Valley R-3, Mexico, Midway R-1, Milan C-2, Moberly, Monroe City R-I, Morgan County R-2, Nixa, North St. François Co. R-1, Northeast Nodaway R-5, Odessa R-VII, Oregon-Howell R-III, Orrick R-11, Osage County R-II, Pattonville, Pettis County R-12, Pierce City, Plato R-5, Princeton R-5, Raymore-Peculiar R-III, Raytown, Reeds Springs R-IV, Renick R-5, Richland R-1, Richmond R-XVI, Riverview Gardens, Salisbury R-4, Scotland County R-I, Sedalia, Seymour R-2, Shelby County R-4, Sikeston, Silex, Smithville R-2, Special School District of St. Louis County, Spickard R-II, Springfield, St Joseph, St Louis, Sullivan, Valley R-6, Verona R-7, Warrensburg R-6, Webster Groves and the Westview C-6 school districts did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2017 (10 Mo.)	FY 2018	FY 2019
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2017 (10 Mo.)	FY 2018	FY 2019
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 4537-01 Bill No. HB 1624 Page 6 of 7 January 19, 2016

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Avilla R-XIII School District

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

East Newton School District

Eldon School District

Everton School District

Hawthorn Leadership Academy

Kansas City Public Schools

Kennett School District

Macon County R-IV School District

Middle Grove C-1 School District

New Haven School District

Nixa School District

Office of the Secretary of State

Parkway School District

Sarcoxie R-II School District

Shell Knob School District

St. Charles School District

St. Elizabeth School District

Tipton School District

Warren County R-III School District

West Plains School District

Wright City R-II School District

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

Ross Strope Assistant Director

JH:LR:OD

L.R. No. 4537-01 Bill No. HB 1624 Page 7 of 7 January 19, 2016

January 19, 2016

January 19, 2016